



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,702	02/07/2001	Anilkumar C. Bhatt	END919960141US2	5311

7590 04/11/2002

Driggs, Lucas, Brubaker & Hogg Co., L.P.A.  
8522 East Avenue  
Mentor, OH 44060

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

ALCALA, JOSE H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2827	

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/778,702	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BHATT ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Jose H Alcala	<b>Art Unit</b> 2827

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2001.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 9-20 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 9-20 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 February 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 29 November 2001 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
     If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  
 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
     1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
     2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
     \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
     a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  
 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____    | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Drawings***

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 11/29/01. These drawings changes are acceptable.
2. Figures are improperly crosshatched. All of the parts shown in the section, and only those parts, must be crosshatched. The crosshatching patterns should be selected from those shown on page 600-81 of the MPEP based on the material of the part. See also 37 CFR 1.84(h)(3) and MPEP 608.02.
3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the circuitry having a line width approximately less than the diameter of said filled plated through hole, and the circuitry having an aspect ratio greater than about 1, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:  

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 12,18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claim 12, it is not clear how the circuitry having line width approximately less than the diameter of the through hole can include a pad, which has to have at least the diameter of the through hole.

Regarding Claims 18-20, it is not clear if the circuitry is on top of the layer of dielectric material or if it is disposed on top of the dielectric substrate and covered by the layer of dielectric material.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al. (US Patent No. 5,097,593) in view of Lan et al. (US Patent No. 5,906,042).

Regarding Claims 9-11, Jones teaches a printed wiring board (Reference Number 10) comprising a dielectric substrate (Reference Number 11), at least one plated through hole (Reference number 12), and circuitry (Reference numbers 14,32) on said dielectric substrate connecting to said plated through hole, said circuitry having a line width approximately equal to or less than the diameter of said filled plated through

hole, said circuitry having an aspect ratio greater than about .5, and greater than about 1(Reference number 32).

Jones fails to teach that the through hole is filled. Lan teaches plated through holes (Reference numbers 407 and 408) filled with a conductive material (409 and 410). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Jones and Lan in order to have the filled plated through holes, to improve the electrical conduction through the circuit board and from the top surface to the bottom surface and to provide a way to increase or decrease the conductivity of it by changing the materials used and their proportions.

Regarding Claims 12-14, as best understood by the examiner, Jones teaches that said circuitry includes a pad (Reference Number 14) on each of said filled plated through holes.

Regarding Claims 15-17, Jones teaches a layer of dielectric material (Reference Numbers 18) disposed on said dielectric substrate and overlying said circuitry (it is overlying Reference 14, which is part of the circuitry) on said dielectric substrate, said layer of dielectric material having at least one via (Reference number 20) formed therein.

Regarding Claims 18-20, as best understood by the examiner, Jones teaches circuitry (Reference number 32) disposed on said layer of dielectric material.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

**Conclusion**

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references have some of the elements of the instant claimed invention: Lubert et al. (US Patent No. 6,291,779), Tokuda et al. (US Patent No. 5,870,289), Hayama et al. (US Patent No. 6,310,304), and McCormack et al. (US Patent No. 6,326,555).
10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jose H Alcala whose telephone number is (703) 305-9844. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.
11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.
12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JHA  
April 1, 2002

*Khalil  
Klunes  
Primary Examiner*